MICROSCOPIC DESCRIPTION OF NUCLEAR THERMODYNAMIC PROPERTIES
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Abstract. Thermodynamic properties of atomic nuclei at high excitation energy (hot nuclei) are studied within two microscopic approaches. The latter are derived based on the solutions of the Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) and self-consistent quasiparticle random-phase approximation (SCQRPA) at zero temperature embedded into the canonical and microcanonical ensembles. The results obtained for $^{94}$Mo, $^{98}$Mo, $^{162}$Dy, and $^{172}$Yb nuclei are in good agreement with the recent experimental data measured by the Oslo (Norway) group.

I. INTRODUCTION

The study of thermodynamic properties of hot nuclei has been an important topic in nuclear physics. Thermodynamic properties of any system can be studied by using three principal statistical ensembles, namely the grand canonical ensemble (GCE), canonical ensemble (CE) and microcanonical ensemble (MCE). The GCE is an ensemble of identical systems in thermal equilibrium each of which exchanges their energies and particle number with the external heat bath. The CE describes the same systems as the GCE but they exchange only their energies, whereas their particle numbers are fixed. The MCE consists of thermally isolated systems with fixed energies and particle numbers. The GCE is often used in most theoretical approaches, for example, the conventional finite-temperature BCS (FTBCS) theory [1] and/or finite-temperature Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov theory [2]. While this theory describes very well thermodynamic properties of infinite systems such as metal superconductors, it fails to describe the properties of finite systems such as atomic nuclei, where the quantal and thermal fluctuations are significant. Most of theoretical approaches to thermal pairing have been derived so far within the GCE in finite systems, where no particle-number fluctuations are allowed. Therefore, the CE and MCE should be used instead of the GCE to describe the thermodynamic properties of atomic nuclei. Moreover, although the pairing problem can be solved exactly [3] and the exact eigenvalues are usually embedded into the CE and MCE [4, 5]. This task is impracticable for particle numbers $N > 14$ in the case of half-filled doubly-folded multilevel model with $N = \Omega$ ($\Omega$ is number of single-particle levels). The purpose of this work is to construct an approach based on the CE and MCE, which can offer results in good agreement with the exact solutions for any value of the particle number, as well as the experimental data of realistic nuclei.
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II. FORMALISM

The present paper considers the pairing Hamiltonian \( H = \sum_k \epsilon_k (a_k^+ a_k + a_k^+ a_k^+) - G \sum_{kk'} a_k^+ a_{k'} - a_{k'} a_k^+ \), where \( a_k^+ \) and \( a_k \) are respectively the creation and annihilation operators of a particle (neutron or proton) on the \( k \)th orbitals and \( G \) is the pairing interaction parameter. The subscript \( k \) are labeled the single-particle states in the deformed basis, whereas the subscripts \(-k\) denote the time-reversal ones. This Hamiltonian can be diagonalized exactly by using the SU(2) algebra of angular momentum [3]. At finite temperature \( T \neq 0 \) the exact diagonalization is done for all total seniority or number of unpaired particles \( S \), whose values are \( S = 0, 1, 2, ..., N \) for systems with even particle number \( N \), and \( S = 0, 1, 2, ..., N \) for odd-\( N \) systems. The number \( n_{\text{Exact}} \) of exact eigenvalues for a system of \( N \) particles moving in \( \Omega \) degenerate single-particle levels is given as:

\[
\sum_{\Omega} C^S_{\Omega} \times C^{d-S}_{N_{\text{pair}}-S/2}, \quad \text{where} \quad C^m_n = m!/[n!(m-n)!] \quad \text{and} \quad N_{\text{pair}} = N/2.
\]

This number increases combinatorially with \( N \). Therefore, the exact solution at \( T \neq 0 \) is impossible for systems with large particle number, for example, \( N > 14 \) for the half-filled case (\( N = \Omega \)), because the size of the matrix to be diagonalized is huge.

Knowing all the exact eigenvalues \( E_{S,\text{Exact}} \) and occupation numbers \( f_k^S \), one can construct the CE partition function \( Z_{\text{Exact}}(\beta) = \sum_{S} d_S \exp(-\beta E_{S,\text{Exact}}) \), where \( d_S = 2^S \) is the degeneracy and \( \beta = 1/T \) is the inverse of temperature. Based on this CE partition function, one can calculate all the thermodynamic quantities such as total energy \( E \), free energy \( F \), entropy \( S \), and heat capacity \( C \) as \( F = -T \ln Z(T), \quad S = -\frac{\partial F}{\partial T}, \quad C = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial T^2} \).

The exact pairing gap is calculated as:

\[
\Delta_{\text{Exact}} = [-(E - 2 \sum_k \epsilon_k f_k + G \sum_k f_k^2)]^{1/2}, \quad \text{where} \quad f_k = \sum_{S} f_k^S \frac{d_S \exp(-\beta E_{S,\text{Exact}})}{Z_{\text{Exact}}[5].}
\]

II.1. Canonical ensemble of the BCS with Lipkin-Nogami particle-number projection (CE-LNBCS)

The CE-LNBCS is derived based on the solutions of the BCS + Lipkin-Nogami particle-number projection (PNP) at \( T = 0 \) [7] for each total seniority \( S \). The LNBCS equations at \( T = 0 \) for each total seniority \( S \) are given as:

\[
\Delta_{\text{PNP}}(k_S) = G \sum_{k \neq k_S} u_k v_k, \quad N = 2 \sum_{k \neq k_S} v_k^2 + S, \quad (1)
\]

where:

\[
\begin{align*}
\epsilon_k - G v_k^2 - \lambda(k_S) & = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{\epsilon_k - G v_k^2 - \lambda(k_S)}{E_k} \right), \quad (2) \\
E_{k \neq k_S} & = \sqrt{[\epsilon_k - G v_k^2 - \lambda(k_S)]^2 + [\Delta_{\text{PNP}}(k_S)]^2}, \quad \lambda(k_S) = \lambda_1(k_S) + 2 \lambda_2(k_S)(N + 1),
\end{align*}
\]

with \( k_S \) denoting the quantum number of unpaired particles appeared when the pairs are broken (\( S \neq 0 \)). The single-particle levels with \( k = k_S \) (blocked levels) always have the occupation numbers of 1/2. Solving the systems of Eqs. (1)-(2), one obtains the pairing gaps \( \Delta_{k_S}^{\text{PNP}} \), quasiparticle energies \( E_k \), and Bogoliubov coefficients.
\( u_k \) and \( v_k \) corresponding to each position of unpaired particles on the blocked levels \( k_S \) at each total seniority \( S \). The LNBCS energies (eigenvalues) are then given as \( \mathcal{E}_{iS}^{\text{LNBCS}} = 2 \sum_{k \neq k_S} \epsilon_k v_k^2 + \sum_{k_S} \epsilon_{k_S} - \frac{[\Delta_{LNBCS}(k_S)]^2}{G} - G \sum_{k \neq k_S} v_k^4 - 4 \lambda_2(k_S) \sum_{k \neq k_S} u_k^2 v_k^2 \). As the result, one can construct the partition function of the so-called CE-LNBCS having the form as 

\[
Z_{\text{LNBCS}}(\beta) = \sum_S d_S \sum_{i_{LNBCS}} e^{-\beta \mathcal{E}_{iS}^{\text{LNBCS}}}
\]

Based on this partition function, all the thermodynamic quantities are then calculated in the same way as the exact case mentioned above. The CE-LNBCS pairing gap is obtained by averaging the seniority-dependent gaps \( \Delta_{iS}^{\text{LNBCS}} \) at \( T = 0 \) in the CE as 

\[
\Delta_{\text{CE-LNBCS}} = \frac{1}{Z_{\text{LNBCS}}} \sum_S d_S \sum_{i_{LNBCS}} \mathcal{E}_{iS}^{\text{LNBCS}} e^{-\beta \mathcal{E}_{iS}^{\text{LNBCS}}}
\]

II.2. Canonical ensemble of the Lipkin-Nogami selfconsistent quasiparticle random-phase approximation (CE-LNSCQRPA)

Within the LNBCS at \( T = 0 \), only the lowest eigenstates can be obtained, e.g., the ground-state energy at \( S = 0 \). The number of LNBCS eigenstates obtained in this case is equal to \( n_{\text{LNBCS}} = \sum_S C_\Omega^S \), which is much smaller than \( n_{\text{Exact}} \). The CE of these lowest eigenstates is therefore comparable with the exact one only in the region of low \( T \). At higher \( T \), one needs to include not only the ground state but also excited states into the CE. This can be resolved by means of the self-consistent quasiparticle random-phase approximation with Lipkin-Nogami PNP (LNSCQRPA) [8]. The LNSCQRPA includes the ground-state and screening correlations, which are neglected within the conventional BCS and quasiparticle RPA. These correlations improve the agreement between the energies of ground state and low-lying excited states obtained within the LNSCQRPA and the corresponding exact results for the doubly-folded multilevel pairing model. The formalism of the LNSCQRPA was presented in details in [8], so we do not repeat it here. The total number of eigenstates obtained within the LNSCQRPA is \( n_{\text{LNSCQRPA}} = \sum_S C_\Omega^S \times (\Omega - S) > n_{\text{LNBCS}} \) because of the presence of QRPA excited states but it is still much smaller than \( n_{\text{Exact}} \). This is the most important feature of the present method, which tremendously reduces the computing time in numerical calculations for heavy nuclei. The thermodynamic quantities are obtained within the CE-LNSCQRPA in the same way as that for the CE-LNBCS, namely from the CE-LNSCQRPA partition function 

\[
Z_{\text{LNSCQRPA}}(\beta) = \sum_{i_{LNSCQRPA}} \rho(\mathcal{E}) e^{-\beta \mathcal{E}_{iS}^{\text{LNSCQRPA}}}
\]

where \( \mathcal{E}_{iS}^{\text{LNSCQRPA}} \) are the eigenvalues obtained by solving the LNSCQRPA equations for each total seniority \( S \).

II.3. MCE-LNBCS and MCE-LNSCQRPA

Within the MCE, we use the eigenvalues \( \mathcal{E}_{iS}^{\text{LNBCS}} \) and \( \mathcal{E}_{iS}^{\text{LNSCQRPA}} \) to calculate the MCE entropy directly from the Boltzmanns definition \( S(\mathcal{E}) = \ln W(\mathcal{E}) \), where \( W(\mathcal{E}) = \rho(\mathcal{E}) \delta \mathcal{E} \) is the number of accessible states within the energy interval \( \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E} + \delta \mathcal{E} \) with \( \rho(\mathcal{E}) \) being the density of states. Knowing the MCE entropy, one can calculate the MCE temperature as \( T = [\partial S(\mathcal{E})/\partial \mathcal{E}]^{-1} \). The corresponding approaches, which embed the LNBCS and LNSCQRPA eigenvalues at \( T = 0 \) into the MCE, are called the MCE-LNBCS and MCE-LNSCQRPA, respectively.
II.4. Level density

Within the CE, the level density is calculated by using the invert of Laplace transformation of the partition function with the saddle point approximation [9]. It is approximated as \( \rho(\mathcal{E}) \approx e^{S(\mathcal{E})}[-2\pi \partial \mathcal{E} / \partial \beta]^{-1/2} \), where \( S(\mathcal{E}) \) and \( \mathcal{E} \) are the CE entropy and excitation energy of the systems, respectively. Within the MCE, the level density is defined based on the inverse relation of Boltzmann definition for MCE entropy, namely \( \rho(\mathcal{E}) = e^{S(\mathcal{E})} / \partial \mathcal{E} \).

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The numerical calculations are carried out within a multilevel pairing model, which consists of \( \Omega \) doubly-folded equidistant levels with the single-particle energies chosen as \( \epsilon_k = k - (\Omega + 1)/2 \text{ MeV} \), as well as several realistic nuclei such as \(^{94,98}\text{Mo}, ~^{162}\text{Dy}, \text{ and } ^{172}\text{Yb} \). For the latter, we employ the axially deformed Woods-Saxon single-particle spectra including spin-orbit and Coulomb interaction, whose parameters are chosen to be the same as in Refs. [6, 10]. The pairing interaction parameter \( G \) for the model case is chosen to be \( G = 1 \text{ MeV} \), whereas for realistic nuclei it is adjusted so that the pairing gap obtained within the LNCS at \( T = 0 \) and \( S = 0 \) fits the experimental odd-even mass difference.

Shown in Fig. 1 are the pairing gaps \( \Delta \), total energies \( \mathcal{E} \), and heat capacities \( C \) obtained within the GCE-BCS, CE-LNBCS, CE-LNSCQRPA versus the exact CE of the multilevel model with \( N = \Omega = 10 \) and \( G = 1 \text{ MeV} \). The figure clearly shows that the CE-LNSCQRPA results (thick solid lines) nearly coincide with the exact ones (thin solid lines) for all thermodynamic quantities under consideration. The results obtained within the CE-LNBCS (thick dashed lines) are a bit off from the exact ones but, as compared to the predictions by the GCE-BCS (thin dashed lines), they still offer a much better agreement with the exact solutions. Figure 2 depicts the CE pairing gaps \( \Delta \), CE heat capacities \( C \), and MCE entropies \( S \) obtained within the CE(MCE)-LNBCS and CE(MCE)-LNSCQRPA versus the experimental data for \(^{94,98}\text{Mo}, ~^{162}\text{Dy}, \text{ and } ^{172}\text{Yb} \). This Fig. 2 shows that the heat capacities obtained within the CE-LNSCQRPA (thick solid lines) as well as the MCE-LNSCQRPA entropies (triangles) fit well the experimental data for all nuclei under consideration, whereas those obtained within the CE (MCE)-LNBCS are a bit far from the experimental ones, especially at high \( T \) and high excitation energy \( E^* \). The most interesting feature is that neither the pairing gaps obtained within the
Fig. 2. The CE pairing gaps $\Delta$ and heat capacity $C$ as functions of $T$ and MCE entropy $S$ as function of $E^*$ for $^{94,98}$Mo, $^{162}$Dy, and $^{172}$Yb nuclei. In (a), (d), (g), and (j), the solid and dash-dotted lines denote the pairing gaps for protons and neutrons, respectively, whereas the thin and thick lines respectively correspond to the CE-LNBCS and CE-LNSCQRPA results. In (b), (e), (h), and (k), the thin and thick solid lines stand for the CE-LNBCS and CE-LNSCQRPA results, whereas the thick dashed lines depict the experimental results taken from Refs. [10, 11], respectively. Shown in (c), (f), (i), and (m) are the MCE entropies obtained within the MCE-LNBCS (squares) and MCE-LNSCQRPA (triangles), and extracted from experimental data (circles with error bars).

CE-LNBCS nor those obtained within the CE-LNSCQRPA for both model and realistic cases collapse at the critical temperature $T_C$ as predicted by the GCE-BCS, but they all monotonously decrease with increasing $T$. Consequently, the sharp peak in the heat capacity, which is the signature of superfluid-normal (SN) phase transition, is smoothed out within these approaches. The neutron gap obtained within the CE-LNSCQRPA in Fig. 2(a) (thick dash-dotted lines) is close to the experimental three-point gap extracted from the finite temperature odd-even mass formula [10]. This feature implies that the CE-LNBCS and CE-LNSCQRPA include the effects of quantal and thermal fluctuations, which are neglected in the GCE-BCS. The level densities obtained within the CE(MCE)-LNSCQRPA are plotted in Fig. 3 as function of $E^*$ in comparison with the experimental data [11]. Figure 3 shows that the level densities obtained within the MCE-LNSCQRPA offer the best fit to the experimental data for all nuclei. The results obtained within the CE-LNSCQRPA are closer to the experimental data for $^{94,98}$Mo at $E^* \leq 4$ MeV, whereas at higher $E^*$ the MCE-LNSCQRPA offers a better performance. The discrepancy between the CE-LNSCQRPA and experimental results seen in Fig. 3 (c) and (d) seems to be larger and increases with $E^*$ for $^{162}$Dy and $^{172}$Yb. This might be due to by the absence of the contribution of higher multipolarities such as dipole, quadrupole, etc., which are not included in the present study and may be important for these two rare-earth nuclei.
Fig. 3. Level densities as functions of $E^*$ obtained within the CE-LNSCQRPA (solid line) and MCE-LNSCQRPA (triangles) versus the experimental data (circles with error bars) for $^{94}$Mo (a), $^{98}$Mo (b), $^{162}$Dy (c), and $^{172}$Yb (d).

IV. CONCLUSION

The present paper proposes two approximations based on the solutions of the LNBCS and LNCSQRPA at $T = 0$ embedded into the CE and MCE. The proposed approaches are tested within the multilevel pairing model as well as several realistic nuclei such as $^{94,98}$Mo, $^{162}$Dy, and $^{172}$Yb. The results obtained for the pairing gap, total energy, heat capacity, entropy, and level density show that the CE(MCE)-LNSCQRPA describe quite well the recent experimental data by the Oslo group [10, 11].
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