

# "Superconformal Algebra and HyperKähler Manifolds

T.H.

[math-ph/arXiv:0904.0911](https://arxiv.org/abs/math-ph/0904.0911), [hep-th/0904.0911](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0904.0911)

[hep-th/0904.0911](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0904.0911)

## ♠ N=4 Superconformal Algebra

We consider theories based on N=4 superconformal algebra (SCA). N=4 SCA contains a level- $k$  SU(2) current algebra.

when  $c = 6k$ . There exist both BPS and non-BPS representations in these theories. In the case of  $k = 1$  (less) representation of isospin=0 the character has the form

$$ch_{k=1, \ell=0}^{\tilde{R}}(z; \tau) = \frac{\theta_1(z; \tau)^2}{\eta(\tau)^3} \mu(z; \tau)$$

where

$$\mu(z; \tau) = \frac{ie^{\pi iz}}{\theta_1(z; \tau)} \sum_n (-1)^n \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)} e^{2\pi i n z}}{1 - q^n e^{2\pi i z}}$$

Function  $\mu(z; \tau)$  is a typical example of the so-called mock theta functions (Lerch sums). Mock theta functions

theta functions but they do not have good modular properties. In general character of BPS (massless) states have non-trivial denominators due to BPS conservation (0) and are thus Mock theta functions. They in fact have good modular behavior.

The above function  $\mu$  has the S-transformation

$$\mu(z; \tau) + \sqrt{\frac{i}{\tau}} \mu\left(\frac{z}{\tau}; -\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \frac{1}{2} M(\tau)$$

$$M(\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{\pi i \tau z^2}}{\cosh \pi z} dz$$



## Modell integral

Recently there has been a development in understanding the nature of Mock theta functions by Zwegers and Rademacher developed a way to improve their modular properties. The prescription to cure the modular property is to take a partner of  $\mu(z; \tau)$

$$R(\tau) = \sum (-1)^n \left[ \operatorname{sgn}\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) - E\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \right] \times q^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2}, \quad \tau = u + iv$$

Here  $E$  denotes the error function. By constructing a transformation law

$$R(\tau) + \sqrt{\frac{i}{\tau}} R\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = M(\tau)$$

Then we form a combination

$$\hat{\mu}(z; \tau) \equiv \mu(z; \tau) - \frac{1}{2} R(\tau)$$

The Mordell integral cancels out and  $\hat{\mu}$  has a property

$$\hat{\mu}(z; \tau) = -\sqrt{\frac{i}{\tau}} \hat{\mu}\left(\frac{z}{\tau}; -\frac{1}{\tau}\right)$$

and is in fact a Jacobi form. Explicitly  $R$  is a contour integral

$$R(\tau) = -i \int_{-\bar{\tau}}^{i\infty} \frac{\eta(z)^3}{\sqrt{\frac{z+\tau}{i}}} dz$$

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} J(z, w; \tau) &= \frac{\theta_1(z; \tau)^2}{\eta(\tau)^3} (\hat{\mu}(z; \tau) - \hat{\mu}(w; \tau)) \\ &= \frac{\theta_1(z; \tau)^2}{\eta(\tau)^3} (\mu(z; \tau) - \mu(w; \tau)) \\ &= ch_{k=1, \ell=0}^{\tilde{R}}(z\tau) - \mu(w; \tau) \end{aligned}$$

**By construction**

$$J(w, w; \tau) = 0$$

**Together with the transformation properties of  $\theta_k$ , we can determine them as**

$$\begin{aligned} J(z, w = \frac{1}{2}; \tau) &= \left( \frac{\theta_2(z; \tau)}{\theta_2(0; \tau)} \right) \\ J(z, w = \frac{1 + \tau}{2}; \tau) &= \left( \frac{\theta_3(z; \tau)}{\theta_3(0; \tau)} \right) \\ J(z, w = \frac{\tau}{2}; \tau) &= \left( \frac{\theta_4(z; \tau)}{\theta_4(0; \tau)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

We then find

$$ch_{k=1, \ell=0}^{\tilde{R}}(z; \tau) = \left( \frac{\theta_2(z; \tau)}{\theta_2(0; \tau)} \right)^2 + h_2(\tau)$$

$$ch_{k=1, \ell=0}^{\tilde{R}}(z; \tau) = \left( \frac{\theta_3(z; \tau)}{\theta_3(0; \tau)} \right)^2 + h_3(\tau)$$

$$ch_{k=1, \ell=0}^{\tilde{R}}(z; \tau) = \left( \frac{\theta_4(z; \tau)}{\theta_4(0; \tau)} \right)^2 + h_4(\tau)$$

where

$$h_2(\tau) = \frac{1}{\eta(\tau)} \mu\left(\frac{1}{2}; \tau\right), \quad h_3(\tau) = \frac{1}{\eta(\tau)}$$

$$h_4(\tau) = \frac{1}{\eta(\tau)} \mu\left(\frac{\tau}{2}; \tau\right)$$

## ♣ Elliptic genus of K3

$$Z_{elliptic}(z; \tau) = \text{Tr}_{R \times R}(-1)^{F_L + F_R} e^{2\pi i z J_{L,0}^3}$$

Elliptic genus of K3 surface is given by:

$$Z_{K3}(z; \tau) = 8 \left[ \left( \frac{\theta_2(z; \tau)}{\theta_2(0; \tau)} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\theta_3(z; \tau)}{\theta_3(0; \tau)} \right)^2 - \dots \right]$$

$$Z_{K3}(z = 0) = 24, \quad Z_{K3}(z = \frac{1}{2}) = 1$$

$$Z_{K3}(z = \frac{1 + \tau}{2}) = 2q^{-\frac{1}{2}} + O(q^{\frac{1}{2}})$$

$$Z_{K3} = 24ch_{k=1, \ell=0}^{\tilde{R}}(z; \tau) - 8 \sum_i h(\tau) \theta_i$$

**Note:**

**non-BPS (massive) representations of level  $k$**

$$q^{h - \frac{(\ell + \frac{1}{2})^2}{k+1} - \frac{k}{4}} \chi_{k-1, \ell} \frac{\theta_1(z; \tau)^2}{\eta(\tau)^3}$$

**At unitarity boundary ( $h = k/4$ ) it splits into m**

$$q^{-\frac{(\ell + \frac{1}{2})^2}{k+1}} \chi_{k-1, \ell} \frac{\theta_1(z; \tau)^2}{\eta(\tau)^3} = ch_{k, \ell + \frac{1}{2}}^{\tilde{R}} + 2ch_{k, \ell}^{\tilde{R}}$$

**q-expansion**

$$8\eta(\tau)(h_2(\tau) + h_3(\tau) + h_4(\tau)) = 2q^{-\frac{1}{8}}$$

↑

**polar term**

In the present case  $k = 1, \ell = 0$ ,

$$q^{-\frac{1}{8}} \frac{\theta_1(z; \tau)^2}{\eta(\tau)^3} = ch_{k=1, \ell=\frac{1}{2}}^{\tilde{R}} + 2ch_{k=1}^{\tilde{R}}$$

and

$$Z_{K3} = 20ch_{k=1, \ell=0}^{\tilde{R}} - 2ch_{k=1, \ell=\frac{1}{2}}^{\tilde{R}} + \sum_{n=1} A_n$$

Using the method of Rademacher expansion  
mine the asymptotic behavior of coefficients .

$$A_n \approx e^{2\pi \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(n - \frac{1}{8})}}$$

Let us denote the massless rep. of isospin  $\ell$  c

partition function has the form

$$Z_{pf} = 20|[0]|^2 + |[1/2]|^2 + \dots$$

in Ramond sector. Under the spectral flow [0] between NS and R sectors and we have coefficient identity representation.

### ♠ Generalization to higher levels

Level-k N=4 SCA describes complex-2k dimensional manifolds. Typical examples are symmetric

$K3^{[n]}$ . Building blocks of level- $k$  theory are

$$Z_{X_k^{(1)}} : \left[ \left( \frac{\theta_2(z; \tau)}{\theta_2(0; \tau)} \right)^{2k} + (2 \rightarrow 3) + (3 \rightarrow 2) \right]$$

$$Z_{X_k^{(2)}} : \left[ \left( \frac{\theta_2(z; \tau)}{\theta_2(0; \tau)} \right)^{2k-2} \left( \frac{\theta_3(z; \tau)}{\theta_3(0; \tau)} \right)^2 + \dots \right]$$

and other symmetric polynomials of the

Elliptic genera of hyperKähler manifolds are obtained by combining these building blocks: in a sense they are determined by the leading term  $Z_{X_k^{(1)}}$ . Only this term contains the identity representation (identity rep. in NS sector) and

normalization by demanding the unit coefficient function.

The only contribution to  $\hat{A}$  genus comes from term and one can immediately derive

$$\hat{A} = k + 1$$

for any hyperKähler manifolds in  $2k_{\mathbb{C}}$ -dimension  
[Sawon, 2001](#).

Elliptic genus of Hilbert scheme of points of K3

etc is given by

$$48Z_{X_2^{(1)}} + 60Z_{X_2^{(2)}}$$

Entropy of hyperKähler manifolds  $H_{2k}$

Elliptic genus ( $H_{2k}$ )

$$= \sum_{I=0}^{k/2} n_I [I] + \sum_{\ell} \sum_n A_n^{\ell} q^{n-\alpha_{\ell}} \chi_{k-1},$$

Asymptotic growth of  $A_n^{\ell}$  is completely determined by the polar parts of the series  $\{A_m^{\ell'}\}$ .

$$A_n^\ell \approx \exp(\pi \sqrt{4kn - \ell^2})$$